PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 203-116PWOFq See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/006709 22.06.2004 18.09.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60G17/052 Applicant CONTINENTAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Telephone No. Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006709

Box No. I		Basis of this opinion
1.		gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was nless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Т	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
ļ	R	ule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of material
ļ	Γ	a sequence listing
	Ē	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	ormat of material
	Γ	in written format
	Ē	in computer readable form
	c. ti	me of filing/furnishing
	Γ	contained in the international application as filed.
	Ē	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Ē	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	f	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or urnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as illed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:
1		
1		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006709

Во	x No. I	I Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The following document has not yet been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional observations, if necessary:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006709

or industrial applicability;
YES
NO
YES
NO NO
YES
NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Prior art:

The previously published document (D1) DE 101 22 567 C which is cited in the application and in the search report is to be considered the relevant prior art. It shows a method for regulating the amount of air in a level regulating system in accordance with the preamble of claim 1.

Difference from the prior art:

Claim 1 of the present application differs from the prior art in that different air-amount intervals are used for the regulation of the amount of air depending on the operating state.

Objective problem:

A regulating method for a level regulating system is to be provided, which rarely regulates in one operating state (and therefore saves energy) and nevertheless makes rapid height changes possible of the vehicle with respect to the roadway in a second operating state.

Reasons for the positive expert opinion:

The method of the present application (claim 1) is novel

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006709

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

(PCT Article 33(2)), see above, and is inventive (PCT Article 33(3)), because the cited documents give no indication of solving the above problem by introducing different air-amount intervals which are used for regulation on a case-by-case basis. The level regulating system according to claim 14 for implementing the method according to the invention as per claim 1 is therefore likewise to be considered novel and inventive. Dependent claims 2 to 13 relate to further embodiments of the invention.

Industrial applicability:

The subject matter of the present application is industrially applicable pursuant to PCT Article 33(4), as it is used in the vehicle industry.